Investigating the Effect of Miscibility on the Ionic Conductivity of LiClO₄/PEO/PCL Ternary Blends

Chun-Yi Chiu, Hsien-Wei Chen, Shiao-Wei Kuo, Chih-Feng Huang, and Feng-Chin Chang*

Institute of Applied Chemistry, National Chiao-Tung University, Hsin-Chu, Taiwan Received June 16, 2004; Revised Manuscript Received August 29, 2004

ABSTRACT: We demonstrate that miscibility affects the ionic conductivity of ternary polymer blends of lithium perchlorate (LiClO₄), poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO), and poly(ϵ -caprolactone) (PCL). Although individually these three binary blends are fully miscible, a closed immiscibility loop exists in the ternary blend phase diagram as a result of the complicated interactions among LiClO₄, PEO, and PCL. The addition of PCL suppresses the crystallization of PEO and results in higher ionic conductivity. FTIR spectroscopy studies indicate that an excess PCL content causes immiscibility, which results in PCL being excluded from the ternary blends. Consequently, the maximum ionic conductivity (6.3×10^{-7} S cm⁻¹) at ambient temperature of ternary blends having a fixed LiClO₄ content (25 wt %) is at a composition of 25/60/15 (LiClO₄:PEO:PCL).

Introduction

Solid polymer electrolytes (SPEs), which are complexes of solvent-free polymers and metal salts, are prepared by dissolving salts in high-molecular-weight polar polymer hosts. Polymer electrolytes have been studied extensively during the past two decades because of their potential applications, which include highenergy density batteries and fuel cells.^{1–5} Ionic transport occurs in the amorphous regions of the polymer and very often is the result of a coupling between the ions and segmental motions of the polymer chains. Poly-(ethylene oxide) (PEO)-based polymeric electrolytes are still among the most extensively studied polymer ionic conductors because their structures are beneficial for supporting fast ion transport. Unfortunately, a high content of a crystalline phase limits the conductivity of PEO-based electrolytes. Efforts to enhance the ionic conductivity of PEO-based SPEs have focused on suppressing its crystallinity by incorporating an inorganic filler, such as clay, to form composite polymeric electrolytes,⁶ by copolymerizing PEO with macromonomers,⁷ and by blending with other polymers into PEO-based electrolytes.⁸ It is an important challenge to develop practical methods for preparing the SPEs that have higher ionic conductivity and dimensional stability. In this regard, the preparation of polymeric electrolytes by blending them with other appropriate polymers is of interest. Polymer blend is a quick and economical alternative method for obtaining materials that have optimized properties and for the easy control of their physical properties by compositional change.

PEO/PCL (poly(ϵ -caprolactone)) blends are miscible over their entire range of compositions.⁹ The existence of strong interaction between PEO and PCL tends to suppress the crystallinity of PEO. Furthermore, because PCL possesses low T_g (-60 °C), PEO/PCL blend systems generally maintain the polymer chain mobility and flexibility. Nevertheless, the immiscible phenomenon occurs upon the addition of certain compositions of

LiClO₄/PEO/PCL ternary blend system. Furthermore, we have presented a more convenient method to suppress the crystalline phase of PEO and obtain a higher ionic conductivity by adding PCL in LiClO₄/PEO-based electrolyte system.

Experimental Section

Materials. The poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO) with $M_v = 10\ 000$ and poly(ϵ -caprolactone) (PCL) with $M_w = 65\ 000$ were purchased from Aldrich Co. Lithium perchlorate (LiClO₄, Aldrich) was dried in a vacuum oven at 80 °C for 24 h and stored in a desiccator prior to use. Acetonitrile was distilled at a suitable temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere prior to use.

lithium perchlorate (LiClO₄) into a ternary LiClO₄/PEO/ PCL blend system, even though any pair of its compo-

nents is miscible. Amorphous PEO complexes with

LiClO₄ are suitable for achieving reasonably high and

stable conductivity.^{1,10,11} Because the addition of PCL

into an electrolyte system based on LiClO₄/PEO tends

to retard or inhibit the PEO crystallization, we expected

that this ternary blend, LiClO₄/PEO/PCL, would have

higher ionic conductivity than previously reported elec-

of the influence of the miscibility behavior and inter-

action mechanism on the variation of ionic conductivity

in polymer electrolytes. In this study, we employed

differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), Fourier trans-

form infrared (FTIR), solid-state ⁷Li NMR spectroscopy,

and alternating current (ac) impedance to investigate

the miscibility and related conductivity behaviors of this

To our knowledge, there has been no previous study

trolyte systems based on LiClO₄/PEO binary blends.

Sample Preparations. Polymer electrolytes of LiClO₄/ PEO/PCL in various ternary blend compositions were prepared by solution casting. Desired amounts of PEO, PCL, and LiClO₄ salt were dissolved in dry acetonitrile and stirred continuously for 24 h at 60 °C. The solution was cast onto a Teflon dish and maintained at 50 °C for an additional 24 h to remove the solvent, and then the dish was further dried under vacuum at 80 °C for 2 days. To prevent its contact with the air and moisture, the polymer electrolyte was transferred to a glovebox under a nitrogen atmosphere.

 \ast To whom all correspondence should be addressed: e-mail changfc@mail.nctu.edu.tw, Tel 886-3-5727007, Fax 886-3-5719507.

Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC). Thermal analyses were performed using a DSC instrument (DuPont TA

2010). The sample was heated from -100 to 150 °C under dry nitrogen. The glass transition temperature (T_g) was obtained as the inflection point of the heat capacity jump recorded at a scan rate of 20 °C /min.

Fourier Transformation Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR). The conventional potassium bromide (KBr) disk method was employed to measure the infrared spectra of the blend films. All polymer films were prepared under a N_2 atmosphere. The acetonitrile solution was cast onto a KBr disk, from which the solvent was evaporated under vacuum at 70 °C for 48 h. All IR spectra were obtained within the range of 4000–400 cm⁻¹ using a Nicolet AVATRR 320 FTIR spectrometer (Nicolet Instruments, Madison, WI) operating at a resolution of 1 cm⁻¹.

Solid-State NMR Spectroscopy. The solid-state ⁷Li magic angle spinning (MAS) NMR spectra were recorded at 300 K on a Bruker DSX-400 NMR spectrometer equipped with a 7 mm double-resonance probe, operating at 400.13 MHz for ¹H nucleus and 155.27 MHz for ⁷Li nucleus. Typical experimental conditions used to obtain the solid-state NMR spectra: $\pi/2$ duration, 2 μ s; recycle delay, 8 s; ¹H decoupling power, 65 kHz; spinning speed, 2 kHz. A 1 M aqueous LiCl solution was used as an external chemical shift reference (0 ppm).

Conductivity Measurements. Ionic conductivity measurements with alternation current were conducted on an AUTOLAB designed by Eco Chemie within the frequency range from 10 MHz to 10 Hz. The electrolyte film was sandwiched between stainless steel blocking electrodes (diameter: 1 cm). The specimen thickness varied from 0.8 to 1.2 mm; the impedance response was gauged over the range from 20 to 120 °C. The bulk resistance was derived from the Cole–Cole plot of the complex impedance is zero.^{12–14} Conductivity was calculated from the bulk resistance according to the equation

$$\sigma = L/A \times R_{\rm h}$$

where σ is the conductivity, *L* is the thickness of the electrolyte film, *A* is the section area of the stainless steel electrode, and R_b is the bulk resistance.

Results and Discussion

DSC Studies. The DSC analysis is one of the most convenient methods for determining the miscibility in polymer blends. T_{g} s of the pure polymers used in this study, PEO and PCL, are both ca. -60 °C. Figure 1 presents the conventional second-run DSC thermograms of various LiClO₄/PEO/PCL ternary blends. Each system (Figure 1a-e) contains a fixed fraction of lithium perchlorate, but the PEO/PCL ratios vary; either single $T_{\rm g}$ or two $T_{\rm g}$'s are identified in all blends. A single $T_{\rm g}$ strongly suggests that these blends are fully miscible and exist as a homogeneous amorphous phase. Meanwhile, a ternary blend with two T_g 's implies is considered immiscible or partially miscible in the amorphous phase. In PEO/PCL binary blends, however, a single $T_{\rm g}$ or two T_{g} 's cannot be identified from the DSC thermograms because T_{gs} of PEO and PCL are so close. Therefore, the miscibility between PEO and PCL cannot be determined simply on the basis of the appearance of one or two T_{g} 's in the DSC thermogram. Kuo et al. have reported,⁹ however, that the binary blends of PEO/PCL at various ratios are miscible in their amorphous phase. Therefore, the phase diagram of this ternary LiClO₄/ PEO/PCL blend system at room temperature based on its DSC analyses is present in Figure 2. A closed-loop, phase-separated region exists in this three-component phase diagram. This observed phenomenon indicates that these complicated interactions, which can be grouped into LiClO₄/PEO, LiClO₄/PCL, and PEO/PCL pairs, exist in this ternary blend system and that these interactions compete with each other. Interestingly,





even though these three individual binary blends (LiClO_4 / PEO, LiClO_4 /PCL, and PEO/PCL) are all miscible in the amorphous phase, immiscibility exists within certain compositions of the ternary system.

Figure 3 displays the effect that the LiClO₄ content has on the glass transition temperatures of (a) LiClO₄/ PEO and (b) LiClO₄/PCL blends. In both of these systems, the T_{gs} increase upon increasing the LiClO₄ content, but they tend to decrease for concentrations of LiClO₄ above 20–25 wt %. It has been suggested that the initial increase in T_{g} is due to the interaction between the polymer chains and the salt whereas the decrease is due to the repulsion between the free anions or the dilution effect of salt aggregation.^{15,16} Within the



Figure 2. Ternary phase diagram of the $LiClO_4/PEO/PCL$ system. The open circles represent a miscible ternary blend, and the full circles represent an immiscible ternary blend.



Figure 3. Effect of LiClO₄ content on the glass transition temperatures of (a) LiClO₄/PEO and (b) LiClO₄/PCL.

range of 10–20 wt % of LiClO₄, the T_g increment of the LiClO₄/PEO system is greater than that of the LiClO₄/PCL system, implying that the interaction between LiClO₄ and PEO is stronger than that between LiClO₄ and PCL.

When we look at the changes in T_g (Figure 3) on the molar basis, though the two T_g elevation patterns look similar, the elevation maximum in LiClO₄/PEO takes place at Li/O mole ratio of 1/9.7, whereas in LiClO₄/PCL it occurs at Li/ester group = 1/2.8. It is understandable because PEO interacts stronger with lithium ions than that of PCL. Moreover, the distance between ether oxygens in PEO is shorter than that between ester groups in PCL. It has been suggested that the lithium



Figure 4. Infrared spectra of binary blends of PEO/PCL, recorded at room temperature, displaying (a) the carbonyl stretching and (b) CH_2 wagging regions.

ion is able to coordinate with more functional groups of the polymer chain in PEO than that in PCL. As a result, higher content of LiClO₄ salt is needed to achieve maximum T_g in the LiClO₄/PCL system.

FT-IR Spectroscopy. Figure 4 shows infrared spectra recorded at room temperature, displaying the bands representing the carbonyl stretching (a) and CH₂ wagging (b) of a series of PEO/PCL binary blends. As shown in Figure 4a, two carbonyl stretching bands appear for the pure PCL at 1734 and 1724 cm^{-1} ; these bands correspond to the absorptions of the amorphous and crystalline conformations, respectively. The relative peak area of the crystalline conformation of PCL (1724 cm⁻¹) decreases upon increasing the PEO content in this binary PEO/PCL blend system. Figure 4b shows infrared spectra of the CH₂ wagging vibration, in the region $1320-1380 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, of the pure PEO and various PEO/ PCL binary blends. The pure PEO has two bands, at 1360 and 1343 cm⁻¹, that represent its crystalline phase.¹⁷ The crystalline conformation of PEO is partially destroyed when the PCL content in this binary blend is increased. As a result, we confirm that the interaction between PEO and PCL tends to decrease the fraction of the crystalline phases of both PEO and PCL.

Figure 5 presents Infrared spectra recorded at room temperature, which display the carbonyl stretching (a) and CH_2 wagging (b) regions of a series of ternary LiClO₄/PEO/PCL blends containing the LiClO₄ content fixed at 10 wt %. In the carbonyl group vibration region (Figure 5a), the spectrum of the blend $LiClO_4/PCL =$ 10/90 possesses a shoulder band at 1700 cm^{-1} , which reflects the interaction between the lithium cation and the carbonyl group of PCL. This shoulder peak disappears upon the addition of PEO, even at a content of as low as 18 wt %, indicating that the interaction between Li⁺ cation and PEO is much stronger than that between Li⁺ and PCL. The crystalline phase of PCL nearly disappears at low PCL concentration (compositions of LiClO₄/PEO/PCL = 10/85.5/4.5 and 10/81/9; Figure 5a). By comparing these spectra with those in Figure 4a, the fraction of the crystalline PCL phase in this ternary blend is relatively higher than that in the binary blend at the same PEO/PCL ratio. The addition of LiClO₄ in PEO/PCL binary blend tends to promote PCL's phase separation and crystallization; the lithium perchlorate prefers to coordinate with PEO rather than with PCL. The bands of crystalline PEO still exist in the ether



LiClO₄/PEO/PCL Ternary Blends 8427



Figure 5. Infrared spectra of ternary blend of LiClO₄/PEO/ PCL containing a constant composition (10 wt %) of LiClO₄, recorded at room temperature, displaying (a) the carbonyl stretching and (b) CH₂ wagging regions.

group vibration region displayed in Figure 5b, which suggests that the crystalline phase of PEO is only partially destroyed because of the relatively lower content of $LiClO_4$ (10 wt %) in these ternary blends.

Figure 6 shows infrared spectra of these LiClO₄/PEO/ PCL ternary blends containing a fixed and relatively higher lithium perchlorate content (25 wt %). We observe the same trends with respect to the appearance of the carbonyl group vibration as appear in Figure 5a, but Figure 6b indicates that the crystalline PEO phase disappears totally when the PEO content is 71.25 wt % or less. This finding implies that at a high LiClO₄ content (25 wt %) in these ternary blends LiClO₄ interacts with or complexes to essentially all of the available PEO, which, thus, retards its crystal formation.

Infrared spectra recorded an even higher LiClO₄ content (40 wt %) are shown in Figure 7. At compositions of 40/12/48 and 40/0/60 (Figure 7a), the spectra of the bands representing the carbonyl group indicate that

Figure 6. Infrared spectra of ternary blend of LiClO₄/PEO/ PCL containing a constant composition (25 wt %) of LiClO₄, recorded at room temperature, displaying (a) the carbonyl stretching and (b) CH₂ wagging regions.

the crystalline PCL phase is destroyed completely while a strong shoulder peak appears at 1700 cm⁻¹, which corresponds to the interaction between the lithium cation and the carbonyl group of the PCL. This content of LiClO₄ (40 wt %) is high enough to interact with essentially all of the PEO, and a portion of the PCL, in the 40/12/48 blend. Upon further addition of the PEO component to 24 wt % or more, the peak at 1700 cm⁻¹ disappears completely while the crystalline phase of PCL appears. In other words, no free PEO or free LiClO₄ salt is left and available to interact with PCL, and this situation results in the PCL's phase separation and crystallization. Figure 7b shows that PEO is totally amorphous at all compositions in these ternary blends containing 40 wt % LiClO₄, indicating that excess LiClO₄ tends to coordinate with all of the PEO present.

⁷Li MAS NMR Spectroscopy. Solid-state ⁷Li NMR spectroscopy has been used widely to study the interaction between the lithium ions and the polymer hosts $^{18-20}$ since the strong receptivity of the Li⁺ ion makes it a



Figure 7. Infrared spectra of ternary blend of LiClO₄/PEO/ PCL containing a constant composition (40 wt %) of LiClO₄, recorded at room temperature, displaying (a) the carbonyl stretching and (b) CH_2 wagging regions.

very attractive analytical tool. Figure 8a shows the ⁷Li NMR spectra, recorded at 300 K, of ternary LiClO₄/PEO/ PCL blends having a LiClO₄ concentration at fixed 10 wt %. One single peak appears for all of the blends at this low LiClO₄ concentration. The peak at high field (ca. -1.1 ppm) is assigned as the interaction between PEO and Li cation, whereas the peak at low field (ca. -0.4 ppm) is assigned to the coordination of Li⁺ with PCL; the latter peak appears only at a composition of 10/0/90, i.e., where PEO is absent. This result indicates that the lithium cation coordinates much more preferably with PEO than with PCL. This result also demonstrates that the ability of PCL to donate its electron to Li⁺ is significantly poorer than that of PEO. Figure 8b displays the 7Li MAS NMR spectra of various LiClO₄/ PEO/PCL ternary blends containing a fixed LiClO₄ content of 25 wt %. Unlike the spectra displayed in Figure 8a, there is no longer only a single peak in each ⁷Li NMR spectrum; a new shoulder single is present at compositions of 25/45/30, 25/30/45, and 25/15/60. After



Figure 8. Solid-state ⁷Li proton-decoupled MAS NMR spectra of ternary blends of LiClO₄/PEO/PCL containing constant LiClO₄ concentrations of (a) 10 and (b) 25 wt %.

curve fitting, a new peak appears at ca. -1.6 ppm (peak III) in addition to two peaks mentioned above (peak I at ca. -0.4 ppm and peak II at ca. -1.1 ppm). Peak III has been assigned as representing the ion pairs of the lithium salt or its aggregates.^{19,21} The relative intensity of peak III increases as the PCL content increases. Because PEO tends to interact with PCL, as a result of the strong miscibility between PEO and PCL, there is not enough free PEO available to dissolve the LiClO₄, and thus, the Li⁺ ion recoordinates with its counterion (ClO_4^{-}) or the salt aggregates. Upon further addition of PCL, a decrease in the relative intensity of peak III accompanies an increase in the relative intensity of peak I; these observations result from the dissolution of LiClO₄ salt in PCL. As Figure 8 indicates, peak III appears only under two conditions: (1) at a high $LiClO_4$ salt concentration (25 wt % or higher) and (2) in the ternary blends LiClO₄/PEO/PCL having a fixed LiClO₄ concentration and various compositions of PEO/PCL = 60/40, 40/60, or 20/80. Nevertheless, peak III does not appear in binary blends of LiClO₄/PEO and LiClO₄/PCL.



Figure 9. Solid-state ⁷Li proton-decoupled MAS NMR spectra of ternary blends of LiClO₄/PEO/PCL having a fixed PEO/PCL ratio of 40/60.

Figure 9 shows the ⁷Li MAS NMR spectra of LiClO₄/ PEO/PCL ternary blends recorded at a constant PEO/ PCL ratio (40/60), but with varying $LiClO_4$ content. Peaks I-III appear simultaneously when the concentration of LiClO₄ is 25 wt % or higher because the excess of LiClO₄ interacts simultaneously with both PCL and PEO. The relative intensities of peaks I and III increase as the LiClO₄ content increases. This phenomenon suggests a relative decrease in PEO content in these ternary blends such that eventually no PEO is left and available to interact with PCL; in this situation, PCL can interact only with the LiClO₄, resulting in the increased intensity of peak I. Discussing Figure 9 on the molar basis, the salt aggregation is formed whenever Li/O is greater than 1/4 regardless of PCL content due to stronger interaction between Li⁺ and PEO than that between Li⁺ and PCL. An excess content of LiClO₄ tends to self-aggregate, which is consistent with the DSC studies.

Three pairs of interactions are present in these ternary $\text{LiClO}_4/\text{PEO}/\text{PCL}$ blends: between LiClO_4 and PEO, LiClO_4 and PCL, and PEO and PCL. The combination of these mutually competitive interactions dictates the final miscibility of these ternary blends and also the mobility of the Li^+ cation within the polymer chains. From the solid-state NMR spectra, we conclude that an excess content of LiClO_4 tends to result in aggregation and increase the mobility of the polymer chains due to the dilution effect.

Ionic Conductivity. Figure 10 presents plots of the Arrhenius ionic conductivity as a function of temperature for LiClO₄/PEO/PCL ternary blend-based electrolyte systems containing a constant LiClO₄ salt concentration (25 wt %). From DSC data, we know that the $T_{\rm g}$ s of PEO and PCL are similar (ca. -60 °C), but the ionic conductivity of LiClO₄/PCL is ca. 1–2 orders lower than that of LiClO₄/PEO. In addition to the chain mobility of the polymer matrix, we must also consider



Figure 10. Arrhenius ionic conductivity plots as a function of temperature for LiClO₄/PEO/PCL ternary blend-based electrolyte systems containing constant LiClO₄ concentration (25 wt %).

the ability of the Li⁺ cation to coordinate to the polar groups present in the polymer matrix. According to the information we obtained from the solid-state ⁷Li NMR spectra, the Li⁺ cation has a much greater preference for coordination with PEO rather than with PCL. Therefore, the LiClO₄/PEO system has a higher ionic conductivity than that of the LiClO₄/PCL system. Figure 10 indicates, however, that the maximum ionic conductivity occurs for the ternary blend LiClO₄/PEO/PCL = 25/60/15. The addition of PCL into the LiClO₄/PEO or PEO into the LiClO₄/PCL binary blend increases their ionic conductivities at lower temperature of 20 °C. The FTIR spectra clearly reveal that the crystalline phases of PEO and PCL still exist in both the LiClO₄/PEO and LiClO₄/PCL binary blends, respectively. The addition of the third component (PCL or PEO) to these binary blends tends to retard or destroy the crystalline phase and results in higher ionic conductivity as a result of the strong miscibility between PEO and PCL. In addition, the crystalline phase can be reduced or inhibited and higher conductivity occurs upon raising the temperature, as is expected. If we consider, for example, a composition of 25/75/0, we observe that its ionic conductivity increases drastically when the temperature is raised from 40 to 60 °C. Nevertheless, further addition of the third component results in a decrease in ionic conductivity because phase separation (immiscibility) occurs, which causes LiClO₄ to aggregate in these ternary blends. As a result, the maximum ionic conductivity occurs at the composition at which phase separation begins. The addition of PCL tends to retard or inhibit PEO crystallization, while the excess content of PCL tends to be excluded. In fact, a slight PCL phase separation promotes the ionic conductivity.

Conclusions

We have investigated the miscibility behavior, interaction mechanism, and ionic conductivity of LiClO₄/ PEO/PCL ternary blend-based electrolyte systems by the use of DSC, FTIR, solid-state ⁷Li NMR spectroscopy, and ac impedance measurements. Although each of the three individual binary pairs is fully miscible, a closedloop immiscibility region exists in the ternary blend's phase diagram. Lithium cation more preferably coordinates with the ether oxygen atom of PEO rather than with the carbonyl group of PCL. When LiClO₄ is added to the PEO/PCL binary blend, the PCL component tends to be excluded, which causes phase separation of these ternary blends. The presence of a small PCL content in the PEO phase is able to retard or inhibit crystallization because PEO and PCL are fully miscible at all compositions. This factor is responsible for the observed increase in ionic conductivity of the LiClO₄/PEO/PCL blend. The maximum ionic conductivity ($6.3 \times 10^{-7} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$) of the ternary blend at room temperature occurs at the LiClO₄/ PEO/PCL composition of 25/60/15.

References and Notes

- Cheradame, H.; Le Nest, J. F. In *Polymer Electrolyte Reviews*; MacCallum, J. R., Vincent, C. A., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1987/1989; Vols. 1 and 2.
- (2) Scrosati, B. In Applications of Electroactive Polymers, Scrosati, B., Ed.; Chapman & Hall: New York, 1993.

- (3) Armand, M. B. Solid State Ionics 1983, 9-10, 745.
- (4) Murata, K.; Izuchi, S.; Yoshihisa, Y. *Electrochim. Acta* 2000, 45, 1501.
- (5) Lee, I. J.; Song, G. S.; Lee, W. S.; Suh, D. H. J. Power Sources 1994, 89, 47.
- (6) Chen, H. W.; Chiu, C. Y.; Wu, H. D.; Shen, I. W.; Chang, F. C. Polymer 2002, 43, 5011.
- (7) Xia, D. W.; Smid, J. J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Lett. 1984, 22, 617.
- (8) Li, J.; Khan, I. M. Macromolecules 1993, 26, 4544.
- (9) Kuo, S. W.; Lin, C. L.; Chang, F. C. Macromolecules 2002, 35, 278.
- (10) Berthier, C.; Forecki, W.; Minier, M.; Armand, M. B.; Chabagno, J. M.; Rigaud, P. Solid State Ionics 1983, 11, 91.
- (11) Wright, P. V. Br. Polym. J. 1975, 7, 39.
- (12) MacDonald, J. R. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1974**, *61*, 3977.
 (13) Watanabe, M.; Ohashi, S.; Sanui, K.; Ogata, N.; Kobayashi, T.; Ohtaki, Z. *Macromolecules* **1985**, *18*, 1945.
- (14) Li, J.; Khan, I. M. *Macromol. Chem.* **1991**, *192*, 3043.
- (14) Li, J., Khali, I. W. Macronol. Chen. 1991, 192, 3043.
 (15) Chen, H. W.; Chiu, C. Y.; Chang, F. C. J. Polym. Sci., Polym.
- Phys. Ed. 2002, 40, 1342.
 (16) Kim, J. H.; Min, B. R.; Won, J.; Kang, Y. S. J. Phys. Chem. B 2003, 107, 5901.
- (17) Chintapalli, S.; Frech, R. Macromolecules 1996, 29, 3499.
- (18) Fu, R.; Ma, Z.; Zheng, J. P.; Au, G.; Plichta, E. J.; Ye, C. J. Phys. Chem. B 2003, 107, 9730.
- (19) Wang, H. L.; Kao, H. M.; Wen, T. C. *Macromolecules* 2000, *33*, 6910.
- (20) Dai, Y.; Wang, Y.; Greenbaum, S. G.; Bajue, S. A.; Golodnitsky, D.; Ardel, G.; Strauss, E.; Peled, E. *Electrochim. Acta* **1998**, *43*, 1557.
- (21) Liang, W. J.; Kuo, P. L. Macromolecules 2004, 37, 840.

MA0488156